IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

WRIT PETITION No.14128 of 2004

Between:

R.Hemadri Naidu, S/o Srinivasulu Naidu, Aged 38 years,
President, Padiredu Water Shed Development Association,

Padiredu, Vadamalapet Mandal,
R/o Padiredu Village, Vadamalapet (Mandal), Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Chairman of the Watersheds Development

Association, D.P.A.P.Chittoor District.
2 The Project Director, D.P.A.P., Chittoor District.
3 M.S.Soundara Rajan, s/o M.S.Srinivasan, Aged 65 years,

Team Leader, Watersheds, r/o D.No.4-67, Opp:Agricultural College,

Staff Quarters, Tirupathi.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, direction, order or orders declaring the action of the respondents in attempting to remove me from the office of the president of Padiredu Watershed Development Association, Padiredu Village, Vadamalapet Mandal, Chittoor District without following procedure as wholly arbitrary, illegal and void and consequently direct the respondents not to disturbing the petitioner from the post of the Presidentship of the Association.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.ESWARAIAH CHOWDARY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR IRRIGATION & COMMAND AREA DEVELOPMENT.

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : ----------

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

As can be seen from the averments in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, it is clear that the petitioner is seeking a writ of Mandamus on an apprehension that the respondents are going to remove him from the office of the President of the Watershed Development Association. No such writ can be maintained on mere apprehension.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

However, this shall not preclude the petitioner to institute fresh proceedings in case necessity thereof asrises.

_____

Date : August 12, 2004. **G. ROHINI, J**

YS

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The District Collector, Chairman of the Watersheds Development

Association, D.P.A.P.Chittoor District.

2 The Project Director, D.P.A.P., Chittoor District.

3 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Civil Supplies,

High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (O.U.T)

4 Two C.D. copies.